

HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE QUESTIONNAIRE - 2003

Revision 1: Dec. 30, 2003

Revision 2: May 15, 2004

Revision 3: July 22, 2004

State: SUMMARY

1. Which of the following changes have been made to your concrete specifications in the last 10 years?

Question 1	Changes Made in Last 10 Yrs	Included in Current Specs.
	% Responded YES	% Responded YES
Use HPC - low permeability concrete	77%	60%
Use HPC-high strength concrete	58%	47%
Allow admixtures	57%	79%
Concrete Strengths	74%	79%
Bridge Deck curing	75%	81%
Deck finishing requirements	47%	70%
Limit cement/alkali content	32%	57%
Testing and acceptance requirements	62%	83%
Heat of hydration required for cement	8%	13%
Chloride testing of hardened concrete	28%	25%
Lightweight concrete	26%	23%
Self-consolidating concrete (SCC) in use	36%	17%
Flowing concrete in use	25%	25%
Epoxy coated reinf. steel used	34%	75%
Stainless Steel reinf. steel used	26%	6%
Stainless Clad reinf. steel used	21%	6%
Specify air void param. (spac. factor and/or specific surface)	4%	6%

2. Current Concrete Specifications:

QUESTION 2 - Current Specification Summary/Ranges								
CLASS OF CONCRETE	Air Content %	Air Content %	Max. W/C Ratio	Slump (in.)	Cement Type	Min. Cement Content (lb/cy)	Max. Cement Content (lb/cy)	Max. Aggreg. Size (in.)
Prestressed	0 - 10%	0 - 10%	0.315 - 0.5	0 - 10	I, II, III and other types	400 - 840	550 - 893	0.5 - 1.5
Decks	1 - 10%	1 - 10%	0.35 - 0.52	0 - 9	I, II, III and other types	400 - 705	0 - 850	0.5 - 1.5
Parapets	1 - 10%	1 - 10%	0.35 - 0.53	0 - 9	I, II, III and other types	400 - 710	80 - 850	0.375 - 2
Substructure/General	0 - 10%	0 - 10%	0.35 - 0.55	0 - 9	I, II, III and other types	400 - 705	0 - 850	0.5 - 2
Paving	1 - 10%	1 - 10%	0.4 - 0.559	0 - 9	I, II, III and other types	508 - 705	600 - 800	0.75 - 3
Latex Hydraulic Cement Concr.	0 - 10%	0 - 10%	0.22 - 0.4	2 - 9	I, II, III and other types	6.6 - 752	658 - 752	0.375 - 1.25
Silica Fume Concrete	1 - 9%	1 - 9%	0.33 - 0.42	2 - 8	I, II, III and other types	564 - 752	0 - 850	0.375 - 1.5

Highest Compr. strength used for prestr. concrete girders:	5 - 12	ksi
Compressive concrete strength used for decks:	3.1 - 6	ksi

3. All states have experienced some of the below concrete distresses. To what extent has your State experienced these (Rank from 1 to 5 with 1=rare and 5=often):

QUESTION 3 SUMMARY (NUMBER OF STATES)							
Type of Distress	RANK	RANK	RANK	RANK	RANK	NO RANK	WEIGHTED SUM
	1	2	3	4	5	--	
<i>Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel</i>	7	6	17	13	9	1	167
<i>Sulfate Attack</i>	33	14	1	4	0	1	80
<i>Alkali-silica Reactivity</i>	26	11	9	5	0	2	95
<i>Freezing and Thawing</i>	16	10	13	7	5	2	128
<i>Cracking (girders, substr., pvmt)</i>	7	13	15	11	5	2	147
<i>Deck Cracking(Early age<5 yrs.)</i>	4	7	12	18	12	0	186
<i>Overload</i>	27	12	5	1	2	6	80
<i>Poor Construction Quality</i>	12	19	13	6	2	1	123

QUESTION 3 SUMMARY (PERCENT OF STATES)							
Type of Distress	RANK	RANK	RANK	RANK	RANK	NO RANK	WEIGHTED SUM
	1	2	3	4	5	--	
<i>Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel</i>	13%	11%	32%	25%	17%	2%	167
<i>Sulfate Attack</i>	62%	26%	2%	8%	0%	2%	80
<i>Alkali-silica Reactivity</i>	49%	21%	17%	9%	0%	4%	95
<i>Freezing and Thawing</i>	30%	19%	25%	13%	9%	4%	128
<i>Cracking (girders, substr., pvmt)</i>	13%	25%	28%	21%	9%	4%	147
<i>Deck Cracking(Early age<5 yrs.)</i>	8%	13%	23%	34%	23%	0%	186
<i>Overload</i>	51%	23%	9%	2%	4%	11%	80
<i>Poor Construction Quality</i>	23%	36%	25%	11%	4%	2%	123

4. Construction Requirements:
Workability Requirements:

Question 4 - Part 1 & 2	NON-AGGR. ENVIR. (Part 1)	AGGRESSIVE ENVIR. (Part 2)
	% of 53* States that responded <YES>	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Air-Entraining</i>	79%	92%
<i>Retarding</i>	68%	75%
<i>Accelerating</i>	42%	38%
<i>Water Reducing (Normal)</i>	75%	81%
<i>Water Reducing (High Range)</i>	77%	81%
<i>Water Reducing & Retarder</i>	64%	72%
<i>Water Reducing & Accelerator</i>	34%	32%
<i>Viscosity Modifying Admixtures</i>	15%	19%
<i>Silica Fume</i>	45%	70%
<i>Fly Ash, Class F</i>	70%	77%
<i>Fly Ash, Class C</i>	49%	57%
<i>Fly Ash, Class N</i>	8%	8%
<i>Metakaolin</i>	8%	11%
<i>Rice Hull Ash</i>	4%	4%
<i>Other Ash Materials</i>	2%	2%
<i>Bark Ash</i>	2%	2%
<i>Bottom Ash</i>	0%	0%
<i>Pet Coke Ash</i>	2%	2%
<i>Slag</i>	57%	62%
<i>Latex</i>	26%	36%
<i>Corrosion Inhibitors</i>	25%	42%

QUESTION 4 Part 3	ELEMENTS WHERE USED									
	Number of States									
ADMIXTURE/SLAG	ALL	Deck	Girder	Pier	Footing	Concrete Pile	Drilled Shaft	Overlay	Blank	Other
Air-Entraining	36	11	4	8	4	3	1	0	0	0
Retarding	30	13	6	6	4	5	6	0	0	0
Accelerating	18	3	6	5	3	3	2	1	1	1
Water Reducing (Normal)	35	7	3	5	1	2	1	0	8	1
Water Reducing (High Range)	23	11	15	12	5	10	7	0	6	4
Water Reducing & Retarder	26	11	7	6	4	6	4	0	12	2
Water Reducing & Accelerator	15	2	4	3	1	3	1	0	31	1
Viscosity Modifying Admixtures	4	1	6	2	1	2	1	0	38	2
Silica Fume	10	25	9	7	2	4	0	1	15	1
Fly Ash, Class F	28	9	6	7	3	5	1	0	10	3
Fly Ash, Class C	21	6	5	6	2	5	1	0	19	3
Fly Ash, Class N	4	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	43	1
Metakaolin	3	4	0	1	1	1	0	0	41	1
Rice Hull Ash	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	0
Other Ash Materials	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	48	0
Bark Ash	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	0
Bottom Ash	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	0
Pet Coke Ash	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48	0
Slag	23	9	5	7	5	3	2	1	15	2
Latex	3	18	1	1	0	1	0	4	26	2
Corrosion Inhibitors	6	10	10	8	3	5	1	0	28	0

Question 4 - Part 4	Range
Admixture Type and Slag	
<i>Fly Ash</i>	0 - 40%
<i>Slag</i>	0 - 75%
<i>Silica Fume</i>	0 - 15%
<i>Metakaolin</i>	0 - 20%
<i>Rice Hull Ash</i>	0 - 22%
<i>Other Ash Material</i>	0 - 30%

Question 4 - Part 5	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
Allowed Practice for Placing Concrete on Site	
<i>Is water allowed to be added at the job site?</i>	85%
<i>Are air-entraining admixtures allowed to be added at the job site?</i>	58%
<i>Are accelerators added at the job site?</i>	36%
<i>Are there any special finishing requirements?</i>	42%

Question 4 - Part 6 - CURING REQUIREMENTS

Structural Element	Exist. Spec. <YES>	Curing Comp. <YES>	Fog Mist <YES>	Wet Burlap (days)	ERL LB/SF/HR	Cure Time (days)
<i>Decks</i>	89%	60%	55%	1 - 14	0 - 1	3 - 28
<i>Silica Fume Overlay</i>	47%	26%	43%	1 - 10	0.1 - 1	1 - 28
<i>Latex Concrete Overlay</i>	42%	11%	23%	0 - 7	0 - 0.15	1 - 7
<i>Dense Concrete Overlay</i>	34%	21%	13%	0 - 7	0.1 - 1	3 - 28
<i>Paving</i>	70%	70%	13%	0 - 7	0 - 0.2	0 - 14
<i>Shotcrete</i>	26%	25%	6%	0 - 7	0 - 0.1	0 - 7
<i>Shotcrete with SF</i>	15%	13%	6%	0 - 7	0 - 0.1	0 - 10
<i>Massive Element</i>	30%	15%	9%	0 - 14	0 - 0	3 - 28

Question 4 - Part 7: Evaporation Requirement	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Any construction requirements for reducing evaporation?</i>	64%
<i>HOW?</i>	4%
<i>How often? (minutes)</i>	0 - 180 min.

5. Has fiber-reinforced concrete been specified for bridge decks or overlays and paving (either steel or plastic fibers)(Indicate R = Regular and E = Experimental.)

Question 5		
	% of 53* States that responded <YES>	% of 53* States that responded (EXP)
<i>Bridge decks: Fiber reinf. concrete specified?</i>	19%	15%
<i>Fiber Type: Steel</i>	9%	
<i>Fiber Type: Plastic</i>	26%	
<i>Overlays: Fiber reinf. concrete specified?</i>	28%	13%
<i>Fiber Type: Steel</i>	13%	
<i>Fiber Type: Plastic</i>	30%	
<i>Paving: Fiber reinf. concrete specified?</i>	13%	10%
<i>Fiber Type: Steel</i>	4%	
<i>Fiber Type: Plastic</i>	0%	

6. Identify concrete cover requirements:

QUESTION 6 - MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS		
STRUCTURAL ELEMENT	COVER (inches)	
	Part 1: Non-Aggressive Environment	Part 2: Aggressive Environment
<i>Deck - Top</i>	1.5 - 3	2 - 3
<i>Deck - Bottom</i>	1 - 3	1 - 3
<i>Reinforced Concrete Beams</i>	1 - 3	1 - 3
<i>Prestr. Concr. Beams - CIP</i>	1 - 3	1 - 3
<i>Prestr. Concr. Beams - Precast</i>	1 - 3	1 - 4
<i>Substructure - Piers</i>	1.5 - 5	1.5 - 6
<i>Substructure - Abutments</i>	1.5 - 3	1.5 - 4
<i>Substructure - Footings</i>	2 - 4	1.5 - 4
<i>Substructure - Drilled Shaft</i>	3 - 6	3 - 6

QUESTION 6 - REQUIRED REINFORCING STEEL									
STRUCTURAL ELEMENT	TYPE REINFORCING STEEL								
	Part 3 - Non-Aggressive Environment								
	BS	ECS	GS	SS	SCD	MMFX	No Response	Other Comments*	Not Used
Decks – Top	26%	62%	8%	0%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%
Decks – Bottom	34%	53%	8%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	2%
Reinforced Concrete Beams	45%	25%	4%	0%	0%	0%	26%	0%	8%
Prestressed Concrete Beams, CIP	38%	23%	4%	0%	0%	0%	32%	0%	11%
Prestressed Concrete Beams, Precast	62%	34%	8%	0%	0%	0%	13%	0%	2%
Substructure – Piers	66%	28%	9%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	2%
Substructure – Abutments	68%	28%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	2%
Substructure - Footings	70%	21%	8%	0%	0%	0%	9%	0%	2%

QUESTION 6 - REQUIRED REINFORCING STEEL									
STRUCTURAL ELEMENT	TYPE REINFORCING STEEL								
	Part 4 - Aggressive Environment								
	BS	ECS	GS	SS	SCD	MMFX	No Response	Other Comments*	Not Used
Decks – Top	9%	89%	9%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Decks – Bottom	21%	77%	9%	4%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Reinforced Concrete Beams	32%	43%	6%	4%	0%	0%	23%	0%	6%
Prestressed Concrete Beams, CIP	28%	42%	4%	4%	0%	0%	25%	0%	11%
Prestressed Concrete Beams, Precast	51%	55%	8%	4%	0%	0%	8%	0%	0%
Substructure – Piers	47%	57%	11%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	2%
Substructure – Abutments	47%	57%	9%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%
Substructure - Footings	57%	40%	9%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%

QUESTION 6 - REQUIRED REINFORCING STEEL									
STRUCTURAL ELEMENT	TYPE REINFORCING STEEL Part 5 – Experimental Use								
	BS	ECS	GS	SS	SCD	MMFX	No Response	Other Comments*	Not Used
Decks – Top	0%	0%	8%	19%	25%	21%	55%	0%	0%
Decks – Bottom	0%	0%	6%	17%	23%	21%	55%	0%	0%
Reinforced Concrete Beams	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	2%	92%	0%	4%
Prestressed Concrete Beams, CIP	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%	94%	0%	4%
Prestressed Concrete Beams, Precast	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	4%	94%	0%	2%
Substructure – Piers	2%	0%	4%	6%	6%	8%	85%	0%	2%
Substructure – Abutments	2%	0%	2%	4%	4%	6%	89%	0%	2%
Substructure - Footings	2%	0%	0%	4%	4%	6%	91%	0%	2%

7. Is there a limit on the percent of alkali allowed in the cement?

8. Are aggregates tested for reactivity? (Part 1)

How many sources of aggregates? (Part 2)

QUESTION 7 & 8		% of 53* States that responded <YES>
# 7	<i>Is there a limit on the percent of alkali allowed in the cement? [YES=1, NO=0]</i>	66%
# 8 - Part 1	<i>Are the aggregates tested for reactivity? [YES=1, NO=0]</i>	64%
# 8 - Part 2	<i>How many sources of aggregates? [YES=1, NO=0]</i>	66%

9. Indicate specification permeability requirement limits for:

Question 9	PERMEABILITY RANGE (Coulombs)	
Structural Element	Non-Aggressive Environment Part 1	Aggressive Environment Part 2
<i>Bridge Decks</i>	750 - 4000	750 - 4000
<i>Prestressed Concrete Members</i>	1000 - 2500	800 - 2500
<i>Substructure Elements</i>	1000 - 4000	800 - 4000
<i>Pavements</i>	2000 - 3500	2000 - 3500

Question 9	BRIDGE DECKS		PRESTRESSED CONCRETE MEMBERS	
Coulomb Range	Number of States		Number of States	
	Non-Aggressive Environment Part 1	Aggressive Environment Part 2	Non-Aggressive Environment Part 1	Aggressive Environment Part 2
0-1000	3	7	1	4
1001-2000	8	11	4	4
2001-3000	2	1	1	2
3001-4000	1	1	0	0

Question 9	SUBSTRUCTURE ELEMENTS		PAVEMENT ELEMENTS	
Coulomb Range	Number of States		Number of States	
	Non-Aggressive Environment Part 1	Aggressive Environment Part 2	Non-Aggressive Environment Part 1	Aggressive Environment Part 2
0-1000	1	4	0	0
1001-2000	3	5	2	2
2001-3000	0	1	0	0
3001-4000	2	2	1	1

10 (a): What QC/QA tests do you specify?

Question 10(a)	
What QC/QA Test do you specify?	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<u>Fresh Concrete</u>	
<i>Slump</i>	94%
<i>Spread</i>	11%
<i>Unit Weight</i>	53%
<i>Air Content</i>	94%
<i>Water Content</i>	17%
<i>W/CM</i>	38%
<i>Temperature</i>	9%

<u>Hardened Concrete</u>	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Compressive Strength</i>	96%
<i>Air/Void System</i>	8%
<i>Chloride Permeability</i>	36%
<i>Maturity</i>	9%
<i>Freeze/Thaw</i>	13%
<i>Shrinkage</i>	6%
<i>ASR</i>	15%

Question 10(b)	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>What are your acceptance criteria for cracks? (i.e., Do you have an acceptance criteria for cracks?)</i>	13%

Question 10 (c)	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Do you specify pre-construction mock-ups?</i>	36%

Question 10(d) - Do you specify design properties at (##) days ... ?	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>28 days</i>	98%
<i>56 days</i>	34%
<i>Other Duration</i>	6%

Question 10(e)	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Do you allow 4x8 cylinders for compressive strength tests?</i>	57%

Question 10(f) – What types of end-caps do you specify/allow ...?	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Sulfur</i>	77%
<i>Neoprene</i>	83%
<i>Ground Ends</i>	17%

Question 10(g)	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Do you specify match-cured cylinders?</i>	30%
Question 10(h)	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>How do you enforce/monitor wet-water curing?</i>	85%
Question 10(i)	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Do you require warrantees against defects – e.g. bridge deck cracking?</i>	8%
Question 10(j)	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>What is your experience/evaluation/specification regarding the Microwave Test for w/cm? (i.e., Do you have experience...)</i>	13%

11. How often are the following types of concrete overlays used? (Rank from 1 to 5 with 1=rare and 5=often)

QUESTION 11 - Part 1: USAGE (Range from 1 to 5 with 1 = rare and 5 = often)						
Type of Overlay	1	2	3	4	5	WEIGHTED SUM
<i>Latex-modified Concrete</i>	51%	16%	14%	12%	7%	89
<i>Silica Fume Concrete</i>	36%	11%	11%	18%	24%	128
<i>Dense Concrete</i>	56%	17%	11%	3%	14%	73
<i>Fly Ash Concrete</i>	45%	17%	3%	14%	21%	72
<i>Slag Concrete</i>	59%	7%	7%	14%	14%	63
<i>Epoxy (Thin Bonded)</i>	74%	20%	3%	3%	0%	47
<i>Polymer (Thin Bonded)</i>	77%	17%	0%	7%	0%	41
<i>Other</i>	54%	8%	15%	8%	15%	29

QUESTION 11 - Part 2	COMMENTS ON PERFORMACE			
Type of Overlay	EXCELLENT	GOOD	POOR	NO RATING
<i>Latex-modified Concrete</i>	21%	26%	4%	49%
<i>Silica Fume Concrete</i>	15%	38%	6%	42%
<i>Dense Concrete</i>	9%	19%	0%	72%
<i>Fly Ash Concrete</i>	19%	9%	0%	72%
<i>Slag Concrete</i>	9%	13%	2%	75%
<i>Epoxy (Thin Bonded)</i>	2%	21%	6%	72%
<i>Polymer (Thin Bonded)</i>	4%	9%	6%	81%
<i>Other</i>	4%	6%	0%	91%

12. Rank the need or interest for your State to learn more about the following from 1 to 5 (1=low; 5=very high)

Question 12 - PART 1						
Beneficial Attributes	INTEREST LEVEL					WEIGHTED SUM - BENEFICIAL ATTRIBUTES
	(1 = LOW, 5 = VERY HIGH					
	1	2	3	4	5	
Low Perm. Conc. (Dense Conc)	19%	11%	17%	13%	40%	182
High Durability	13%	6%	19%	21%	42%	197
High Corrosion Resistance	9%	17%	23%	19%	32%	184
Alkali-silica reactivity Resistance	25%	17%	27%	10%	21%	148
Higher Concrete Strengths	19%	23%	43%	4%	11%	141
Highly Flowable Concrete	9%	13%	28%	28%	21%	179
Crack Control	2%	8%	23%	17%	51%	216
Skid Resistance	14%	27%	39%	10%	10%	140
Rideability	17%	19%	35%	15%	13%	150
Toughness of Concrete*	16%	22%	39%	16%	8%	142
Minimum Maintenance	8%	10%	35%	25%	23%	180
Longer Service Life	8%	4%	23%	23%	43%	207
Savings (life Cycle Costs)	12%	8%	27%	25%	29%	183

QUESTION 12 - Part 2

BENEFICIAL ATTRIBUTES	Overall Ranking													WEIGHTED SUM OVERALL RANKING
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
<i>Low Perm. Conc. (Dense Conc)</i>	15%	12%	23%	12%	4%	4%	0%	4%	12%	0%	8%	4%	4%	133
<i>High Durability</i>	38%	19%	12%	0%	12%	0%	4%	8%	0%	4%	0%	4%	0%	89
<i>High Corrosion Resistance</i>	4%	19%	8%	19%	15%	8%	12%	8%	0%	8%	0%	0%	0%	126
<i>Alkali-silica reactivity Resistance</i>	5%	5%	18%	0%	14%	5%	5%	14%	9%	0%	5%	14%	9%	158
<i>Higher Concrete Strengths</i>	0%	0%	0%	0%	8%	4%	4%	4%	13%	13%	33%	4%	17%	240
<i>Highly Flowable Concrete</i>	4%	13%	0%	9%	4%	4%	13%	0%	13%	4%	4%	17%	13%	182
<i>Crack Control</i>	27%	8%	12%	12%	12%	12%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	0%	0%	110
<i>Skid Resistance</i>	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	4%	13%	4%	17%	9%	22%	17%	4%	216
<i>Rideability</i>	0%	0%	0%	4%	4%	17%	4%	8%	8%	29%	13%	8%	4%	214
<i>Toughness of Concrete*</i>	0%	0%	0%	13%	8%	4%	8%	13%	13%	8%	17%	4%	13%	208
<i>Minimum Maintenance</i>	4%	4%	4%	13%	9%	17%	9%	13%	9%	13%	4%	0%	0%	149
<i>Longer Service Life</i>	13%	13%	17%	13%	8%	8%	13%	4%	4%	0%	8%	0%	0%	115
<i>Savings (life Cycle Costs)</i>	0%	9%	9%	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	0%	4%	13%	0%	0%	143

13. Who at State and Division levels i.e., Materials, Construction, Pavement, Research, Structures, would be involved in examining concrete specifications and procedures and learning about High Performance Concrete?

Check those that apply:

QUESTION 13	
Responsible Individual	% of 53* States that responded <YES>
<i>Materials</i>	98%
<i>Construction</i>	79%
<i>Pavement</i>	45%
<i>Structures</i>	89%
<i>Research</i>	55%

14. Have you considered adopting/implementing the following SHRP products?

QUESTION 14				
SHRP Products	% of 53* States that responded <YES>	% of 53* States that responded <NO>	% of 53* States that responded <Unknown>	% of 53* States that responded <Implemented>
<i>2005</i>	27%	40%	31%	2%
<i>2014</i>	46%	21%	15%	17%
<i>2017</i>	20%	45%	18%	18%
<i>2036</i>	20%	30%	42%	8%